

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/782,585	02/18/2004	John C. De Lacy	7614-US1 4743			
7590 06/24/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Thomas F. Lenihan			VO, HIEN XUAN			
TEKTRONIX,	INC.		ADTIINET I	DADED WILLDED	_	
M/S 50-LAW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 500		2863				
Beaverton, OR	97077-0001	DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/782,585		LACY ET AL.			
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	-	Art Unit			
		Hien X. Vo		2863			
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
A SHORTENED THE MAILING D - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FO ATE OF THIS COMMUNIO ay be available under the provisions o S from the mailing date of this commu specified above is less than thirty (30) is specified above, the maximum statu the set or extended period for reply w y the Office later than three months aft djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event nication. days, a reply within the statuto utory period will apply and will e ill, by statute. cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the string to become ABANDONET	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status							
1) Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed	on <u>18 February 2004</u>	ļ.				
2a) ☐ This action	is <b>FINAL</b> . 21	o)⊠ This action is nor	n-final.		j		
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Clair	ns						
4a) Of the 6 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
10) The drawin Applicant m Replaceme	cation is objected to by the g(s) filed on 18 February 2 may not request that any object ont drawing sheet(s) including to declaration is objected to	004 is/are: a) ☐ accetion to the drawing(s) be the correction is required	held in abeyance. See I if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsper	rson's Patent Drawing Review (Pī sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F	PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  Notice of Informal P  Other:	ate	-152)		

Art Unit: 2863

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too short not within the range of 50 to 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

#### **Drawings**

3. The informal drawings are not of sufficient quality to permit examination.

Accordingly, replacement drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to this Office action. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the

Application/Control Number: 10/782,585

Art Unit: 2863

applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action.

Applicant is given a TWO MONTH time period to submit new drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.81. Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely submit replacement drawing sheets will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gauland et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,571,185).

With respect to claims 1-6, Gauland et al. disclose Continually responsive and anticipating automatic setup function for a digital oscilloscope that include detecting occurrences of at least one trigger event (see e.g. col. 2, lines 49-52), determining the number of detected trigger event occurrences during a predefined time interval to establish thereby a rate of trigger event occurrences (see e.g. col. 3, lines 5-11), the rate of trigger event occurrences is used to generate an alphanumeric value for display

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/782,585

Art Unit: 2863

on a display device and generating waveform imagery for display on a display device (see e.g. Figs. 1-29), the trigger event comprises at least one of a glitch condition, a pulse width violation condition, a slew-rate violation condition, a runt condition, a time-qualified runt condition, an abnormal pulse condition, a time-qualified abnormal pulse condition, a timeout condition, a window criteria condition, a set-up and hold violation, a logic pattern, a logic state and an edge condition (see e.g. col. 4, lines 56-67), associating indicia of at least some of said trigger event occurrences of at least one trigger condition with a respective and associated with a timestamp (see e.g. col.3, lines 22-30).

With respect to claims 7-10, Gauland et al. disclose the invention as claimed including at least some of said trigger event occurrences of at least one trigger condition with a respective timestamp (see e.g. col. 9, lines 25-29); processing the time stamped indicia using a fast Fourier transform (FFT) to provide thereby a spectral profile of occurrences of said trigger event (see e.g. col. 4, lines 12-16), a trigger condition in response to said rate exceeding a threshold level and in response to a spectral profile correlating to a predefined spectral profile within a threshold level of accuracy (see e.g. col. 2, lines 60-67), asserting a trigger condition in response to each of at least two of said trigger events occurring (see e.g. col. 2, lines 49-52).

Claims 11, 13-18 are apparatus claims corresponding to method claims 1-10.

Therefore, claims 11, 13-18 are rejected for the same rationales set forth for claims 1-

With respect to claim 12, Gauland et al. disclose the invention as claimed including a multiplexer, for receiving from said advanced trigger module each of a plurality of trigger condition indicative signals and selecting there from at least one of said trigger condition indicative signals for further processing by said event counter module (see e.g. col. 11, lines 10-17).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hien X. Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2282. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hien Vo 06/11/05

> John Bankw Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800